

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/750,482	JONAS, JEFFREY J	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	NIRAV PATEL	2435	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mr. George H. Gates (Reg. No. 33,500)

(2) Nirav Patel

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 18 November 2009

Time: \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Non-Final Rejection dated on 4/15/09

Claims discussed:

1, 17, 31, 32-45, 46, 47-58

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner provided the detail explanation on the 101 issue and the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> issue and further pointed out the deficiency associated with the above claims. Applicant acknowledged the deficiencies associated with the above claims and proposed to further amend the claims to overcome the deficiencies. Ms. Gates has agreed and authorized to enter the proposed amendment as Examiner's amendment. Further, during the interview, examiner clarified the term "a computer readable storage device" as defined in specification page 3 paragraph 13.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)